

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/420,478	10/19/1999	Roy Mathieu	\$1022/8263	2856
75	90 10/01/2003		EXAM	INER
JAMES H MORRIS			HA, NATHAN W	
WOLF GREENFIELD AND SACKS PC				
Federal Reserve Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
600 Atlantic Avenue			2814	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2211			DATE MAIL ED: 10/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			ac/			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/420,478	MATHIEU, ROY			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nathan W. Ha	2814			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	<u>uly 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa					
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under a on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-4}$ is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
-,_	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* S	application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·			
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) <u></u> □ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.			
Attachment	•	_				
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/420,478

Art Unit: 2814

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Whitney (WO 95/04374, IDS, previously cited.)

In regard to claims 1 and 4, in figs. 1-3, the Applicant's admitted prior art discloses a high voltage component formed in a region of a silicon substrate 1 of a first conductivity N-type delimited by a wall 2 of the second conductivity P-type, having a lower surface including a first region 6 or 11, in fig. 3, of the second conductivity type connected to the wall 2, and an upper surface including at least a second region 4 of the second conductivity type; further including a third region 10 of the first conductivity type of high doping level formed in the substrate under a portion of the track L. The Applicant's admitted prior art also includes field plate 13 that insulted from the track, however, it does not expressly show the third region being contacted by the field plate 13.

Whitney, in fig. 2, discloses a high voltage component having a field plate 31 extends beyond the third region and being in contact with heavily doped N-type region

Art Unit: 2814

35 in order to prevent such surface charge induced breakdown as taught by Whitney on page 9, lines 25-29.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to connect field plate to the thirst heavily doped region as taught by Whitney in order to prevent such surface charge induced breakdown since field plate would provide a uniform surface potential.

In regard to claim 2, in fig. 2, Whitney further discloses the field plate 36 extends beyond the third region in the wall direction over a distance greater then 10  $\mu$ m in order to cover the entire junction termination below. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the are at the time of the invention was made to extend the field plate in order to cover the entire junction termination below since field plate isolates the surface charges that accumulate on the passivating layer from distorting the electrical characteristics of the junction termination.

In regard to claim 3, see the Applicant's admitted prior art, page 2, lines 22-24.

#### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Whitney's field plate 36 in fact extends beyond the third region 35 where it becomes 31 and 34.

Application/Control Number: 09/420,478

Art Unit: 2814

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan W. Ha whose telephone number is (703) 305-3507. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:00-7:00(EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and 308-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Nathan Ha September 29, 2003

Page 4

TEURNOLUGY CENTER 2800